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## SUMMARY

| Name of the Sate | $:$ | Meghalaya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of the District | $:$ | West Garo Hills |
| Name of the C\&RD Block | $:$ | Zikzak |
| Name of the Villages | $:$ | i) Kimdegre (ii) Manwapara |
| Name of the Project | $:$ | IWMP-VI |
| Total Geographical Area | $:$ | 650.2 Ha |
| Total Treatment Area | $:$ | 500 Ha |
| Total Project Cost | $:$ | 5 Yakhs |
| Project Duration | $:$ | Soil \& Water Conservation Territorial Division, |
| Project Implementing Agency |  | Tura. |
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## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Project Background:

The Kimde (IWMP) Micro Watershed Project is located at West Garo Hills. It is under Zikzak C\&RD Block, West Garo Hills District of Meghalaya, consisting of a single micro-watershed, the project area is drained by the Gondu River and its tributaries flowing in a south to north direction. The total area is 650.2 Ha . with 500 ha to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

The Project area is located at a distance of about 25 km from Zikzak the C\&RD Block Headquarter and about 63 km from Tura the District Headquarter. A total of 2 villages are covered under the project. These are -

1. Kimdegre.
2. Manwapara.

### 1.2 Micro-watershed Information:

The micro-watershed code is $\qquad$ as codified by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The total area of the micro-watershed is 650.2 Ha ., with 500 hectares to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

### 1.3 Need and Scope for Watershed Development:

The micro-watershed Kimde falls under the High Priority category as per the prioritization of watersheds by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The farmers are all marginal and Jhum cultivation is practiced by most of the inhabitants of these villages on the slopes.

Even though the area receives ample rainfall during the monsoons, there is acute shortage of water during the dry seasons and the villagers have to travel long distances for fetching water even for domestic use.

### 1.4 Other developmental projects/schemes running in the Project Area:

The other developmental projects/schemes undertaken in the Project Area are:-

1. NRGES.
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### 2.1 Location:

The Project area is at West Garo Hills District. It is situated at a distance of 15 km about Ampati the Civil Sub-Divisional Head Quarter and about 63km from Tura .the District Headquarter.. The geographical location is between $90^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 26.40^{\prime}$ 'to $90^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 36.00^{\prime}$ ' E Longitude and $25^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 40.80^{\prime \prime}$ ' to $25^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 50.40^{\prime}$ ' N Latitude. There are 2(two) villages within the Watershed which are as follows -

1. Kimdegre. - 96 Nos.
$\begin{array}{clll}\text { 2. Manwapara } & - & 208 & \text { Nos } \\ \text { Total } & - & 304 & \text { Nos. }\end{array}$

### 2.2 Physiography:

The physiography of the micro-watershed is highly undulating. The altitude ranges from a minimum of 40 m to a high of 144 m above mean sea level. In the lower reaches (valley lands) the slope ranges from 1-5 \% however, in the middle and upper reaches it is greater than $15 \%$, and can reach up to $50 \%$.

## Table 2.1: Physiographic details

| Elevation <br> (metres) | Slope Range (\%) | Order of watershed <br> Sub/Micro-watershed | Major <br> streams | Topography |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $43-144$ | $1-50 \%$ | Micro Watershed | Gondu,Jong <br> dik, <br> Songgitcha <br> m, <br> Makbilkol, | Gentle <br> sloping |
|  |  | Abreng, <br> Apal, Dilni, <br> Ganol. |  |  |

### 2.3 Drainage:

The major stream draining the micro-watershed is Gondu which is a $2^{\text {nd }}$ order stream flowing in a south-north direction. The slopes of the micro-watershed are dissected by numerous small tributaries and ultimately joining the Ganol river.

### 2.4 Soil:

Soil Texture is gravelly on the sloping lands and clayey to sandy clay on the low lying areas. Soil depth varies from very shallow to deep. Soils are permeable and generally acidic in nature. Owing to highly undulating land form and absence of good vegetation cover, the area is exposed to erosion hazards. The soil nutrient status in the area shows a general trend of low phosphorous content.

Table 2.2: Details of soil erosion in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Names of State | Names of District | Names of Projects | Cause | Types of erosion | Area affected (ha) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Run- } \\ \text { off } \\ (\mathrm{mm} / \\ \text { year }) \end{gathered}$ | Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year) |
| 1 | Meghalaya | West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { VI } \end{gathered}$ | Water erosion: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | a | Sheet |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | b | Rill | 500 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | c | Gully |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wind | osion | Nil | Nil | Nil |

### 2.5 Climate:

The Watershed lies under Hot, moisture Agro-climatic zone. The average annual rainfall is about 3040 mm . Monsoon normally starts in the middle of May and last till middle of October. About $80 \%$ of the total annual rainfall is received from June to September. May and June are the hottest month recording average maximum temperature of $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. December and January accounts for lowest of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 2.3: Agro-climatic zones of the project areas, soil types, average rainfall and major crops.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Sl} . \\ \mathrm{No} \end{gathered}$ | Name of State | Name of the | Area (in ha) | Names o | Names of the Projects | Major soil types |  | Average annual rainfall in mm (preceding 5 years' average) | Major crops |  |
|  |  | Agroclimatic zone |  | the districts |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { a) } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | b) <br> Area <br> (ha) |  | a) <br> Name | b) Area (ha) |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meghala } \\ \text { ya } \end{gathered}$ |  | 500 | West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} \text { WGH } \\ \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { VI } \end{gathered}$ | (a) Deep, excessively drained fine loamy soils. <br> (b) Deep, poorly drained clay fine soil. | $\begin{gathered} 629.5 \\ 20.7 \end{gathered}$ | 3040 mm | Rice | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maize | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Millet | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ginger | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arecanut | 125 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Banana | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 269 Ha |

### 2.5 Agriculture:

Agriculture is the primary occupation of the people of the area. The people mostly practice jhum. The jhum plots vary from $45-50 \mathrm{Ha}$, and are cultivated for 3-4 years. The principal agricultural crops grown on the jhum fields are potato, sweet potato, millet, maize, yam and vegetables. Fruit crops are well suited in the lower reaches which contribute to the income of the people.

Table 2.4: Crop yield and production

| Crops | Area <br> (ha) | Average Yield <br> (Qtl) per ha. | Total Production (Qtl.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 60 | 15 | 900 |
| Maize | 14 | 20 | 280 |
| Millet | 25 | 8 | 200 |
| Ginger | 30 | 35 | 1050 |
| Arecanut | 125 | 8 | 1000 |
| Banana | 15 | Na | Na |
| Tapioca | 8 | 30 | 240 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 2.6 Natural Vegetation:

The tree species common to the watershed area includes Shorea robusta, Albizzia lebbek, Albizzia procera, Bombax cieba and Bamboo spp(Dendrocalamus and Bambusa spp. However, due to jhum cultivation the forest cover of the area has reduced considerably.

### 2.7 Socio-Economic Profile:

Economically, the area is perhaps the most backward in the district. The main reason is due to the absence of road communication, primitive way of agricultural practices like jhumming and the difficult terrain of the area.

Demographic Status: The total households in the watershed project is 65 with a total population of 304, of which 150 are male and 154 are female. The detail of the household in each of the villages in the watershed project is as follows:

| 1. Kimdegre | -22 Nos |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Manwapara | $-\quad 43$ Nos |
| Total | $-\quad 65$ Nos. |

## Infrastructure facilities :

2.1.1 Roads: All the villages within the Project Area are not connected by road. The Project area depends entirely on the kutcha road connected either to Salmanpara or Ampati.
2.1.2 School: There is only one Primary Adhoc Schools and one L.P school within the Project Area run by the Government.
2.1.3 Electricity :There is no electricity connection in the project area.
2.1.4 Health : No Community Health Centre available in the project area.
2.1.5 Water Supply : Two numbers of ring wells are present in the area. However, during lean season the entire population have to depend on springs available in the area as the supply is not sufficient to meet the daily requirement.
2.1.6 Market : There is a weekly market held once in a week at Ampati and Salmanpara. However, the main market where the people sell their produce is at Ampati .

Table 2.5: Infrastructure Status.

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of Project | Parameters: |  | Status |  |  |  |
| WEST GARO HILLS | IWMP-VI | (i) | Whether connected to the main road by an all weather road | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) | No. of households without electricity | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) | No. of households without access to drinking water |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) | No. of educational institutions: | (P) | (S) | (HS) | (VI) |
|  |  |  | Primary (P)/ Secondary (S)/ Higher Secondary <br> (HS)/ Vocational institution (VI) | 2 | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (v) | Distance of project village from nearest Primary Health Centre | 8 km |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) | Distance of project village from nearest Veterinary Dispensary | 8 km |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) | Distance of project village from nearest Post Office | 15 km |  |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) | Distance of project village from nearest Banks | 15 km |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) | Distance of project village from nearest Markets/mandis | 8 km |  |  |  |
|  |  | (x) | Distance of project village from nearest AgroIndustries | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) | Total quantity of surplus milk | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xii) | No. of milk collection centres | (U) | (S) | (PA) | (O) |
|  |  |  | (PA)/ Others (O)) | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (xiii) | No. of villages with access to Aganwadi Centres | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xiv) | No. of worship place | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xv) | No. of Community Hall | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xvi) | No. of water tanks/Ringwell/Spring chamber | 2 |  |  |  |

### 2.8 Livestock:

There are only 4 kinds of livestock farming being farmed in the area viz. Piggery, Poultry ,Goatery and Cattle .

Table 2.6: Existing livestock population

| Type of Animal | Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| Piggery | 50 |
| Poultry | 101 |
| Goatery | 35 |
| Cattle | 86 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ |

### 2.9 Land ownership:

The proposed project is under the "A'king land tenure system."prevailing in Garo Hills District of Meghalaya in which a land is held a particular class \{Mahari) under the custody of the Head of the Clan or a Village Chief called "Nokma" recognized as such by the Garo Hils District Councils.

Table 2.7: Land Holding:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Distri ct | Name of the <br> Project | Types of Farmer | No. of househol ds | No. of | Land holding (ha) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | househ olds | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total |
| West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { VI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (i) Large(>5 } \\ & \text { Ha) } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (ii) Small(1-5 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (iii) } \\ & \text { Marginal }(<1 \\ & \text { Ha) } \end{aligned}$ | 61 | - | - | 363.21 | 363.21 |
|  |  | (iv) Landless | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Sub - Total | 65 | - | - | 363.21 | 363.21 |

## Table 2.5: Common Property Resources in the Project Area

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of the Projects | CPR <br> Particulars | Total Area (ha) <br> Area owned/ In possession of |  |  |  | Area available for treatment (ha) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pvt. <br> Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Community) | Pvt. Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Community) |
| West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} \text { WGH } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | (i) Wasteland/ degraded land | - | - | - | 89.67 | - | - | - | 350 |
|  |  | (ii) Pastures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (iii) Orchards | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (iv Private agriculture land | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - |
|  |  | (v) Forest | - | - | - | 197.32 | - | - | - | 30 |
|  |  | (vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (vii) Community Buildings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (viii) Weekly Markets | - | - | - | Salmanpara | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (ix) Permanent Markets | - | - | - | Ampati | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (x) Temples/ Places of worship | - | - | - | Church- 1 No. | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (xi) Jhum Cultivation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (xii)Permanent Cultivation | 12.53 | - | - | 78.28 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (xiii) Habitation including streams | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (xiv) Others(please specify) Horticultural land | 88.7 | - | - | 183.7 | - |  |  | 40 |
|  | Total |  | 76.83 Ha |  |  | 548.97 Ha | 80 | - | - | 420 |

2.9 Land use and land cover : As per the land use land cover map the Watershed area has been broadly classified into the following land uses.

| a) Agricultural land-crop land-kharif crop | $=$ | 90.81 | Ha |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| b) Tree clad Area-open | $=$ | 197.32 | Ha |
| c) Wastelands-open scrub |  |  | 89.67 |
| d) Ha |  |  |  |
| dorticulture plantation |  | $=$ | 272.40 |
|  |  | Ha |  |
|  |  | Total | $=$ | | 650.2 | Ha |
| ---: | :--- |

### 2.10 Problems of the Area :

The primary problems of the area is jhumming. Majority of the population depends on Jhum Cultivation for their livelihood.In other words, unscientific method of cultivation has not only reduced the Jhum cycle, low crop yield but had adversely affected the ecological balance within the area. Road communication is another infrastructural problems that the area is facing where large volume crops like pineapple, jackfruits etc do not find their way into the market which has resulted in poor socio-economic status of the people. However, to control or to overcome the said problems an innovative approach has been formulated and documented in the Action Plan or the Treatment Plan the Detailed Project Report. The method of identification of the problems is through the Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercises conducted in all the villages within the Watershed.

Further the major problems in the project area are :-
(i) Unsustainable exploitation of forest vegetation.
(ii) Absence of soil and water conservation measures.
(iii) Lack of technical knowledge on crop management and water management.
(iv) Poor socio economic set up.
(v) Fire hazards

The foresaid problem need to be integrated in the process of farming of landuse which will be acceptable to the village Communities as a whole. In other words, the physical resources, human resources and existing problems have to be compatible adjusted so as to ensure programmes objective fulfillment.
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## PROJECT PLANNING \& INSTITUTION BUILDING

### 3.1 Scientific Planning

i) Base Line Survey: To establish a benchmark for assessing the impact of any intervention (pre-project \& post project) a baseline survey is essential. The baseline survey included household census \& socio-economic survey by using structured and semi -structured questionnaires, bio-physical survey to identify and assess the status of natural resources in the project area.
ii) Participatory Rural Appraisal: To further obtain information on the project area, the people, resources, various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, seasonal calendars, matrix ranking, Venn diagrams were used.
iii) GIS \& Remote Sensing: To facilitate the process of prioritization and planning Geographic Information System was use. The land use and land cover (LULC) maps were prepared by the North Eastern Space Application Centre (NESAC) using the LISS III images (2006). The activities were located on the field by using GPS and accordingly transferred to the maps on GIS platform

## Table 3.1: Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP projects:

| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl.No. | Scientific criteria/ inputs used | No. of projects in which scientific criteria were used |
| A. | Planning |  |
|  | Cluster approach | 3 |
|  | Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the name of the Institute. | YES <br> i)NESAC,Nongsder <br> ii)SNLA,GIS lab,Shillong |
|  | Baseline survey | YES |
|  | Hydro-geological survey | GIS survey/engineering Survey |
|  | Contour mapping | Toposheet(1:50000) |
|  | Participatory Net Planning (PNP) | PRA exercise |


| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover | YES |
|  | Ridge to Valley treatment | YES |
|  | Online IT connectivity between |  |
|  | (1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP | YES |
|  | (2) DRDA and SLNA | YES |
|  | (3) SLNA and DoLR | YES |
|  | Availability of GIS layers |  |
|  | 1. Cadastral map | NO |
|  | 2. Village boundaries | NO |
|  | 3. Drainage | YES |
|  | 4. Soil (Soil nutrient status) | YES |
|  | 5. Land use | YES |
|  | 6. Ground water status | NO |
|  | 7. Watershed boundaries | YES |
|  | 8. Activity | YES |
|  | Crop simulation models\# | NO |
|  | Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy for high speed soil nutrient analysis | NO |
|  | Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)\# | YES |
|  | Weather Stations | NO |
| B. | Inputs |  |
|  | 1. Bio-pesticides | NO |
|  | 2. Organic manures | YES |
|  | 3. Vermi-compost | NO |
|  | 4. Bio-fertilizer | YES |
|  | 5. Water saving devices | YES |
|  | 6. Mechanized tools/ implements | NO |
|  | 7. Bio-fencing | YES |
|  | 8. Nutrient budgeting | YES |
|  | 9. Automatic water level recorders \& sediment samplers | NO |
|  | Any other (please specify) |  |

### 3.2 Project Implementing Agency:

The PIA is the Soil \& Water Conservation Territorial Division, Tura West Garo Hills District of Meghalaya. The Project Manager will be the Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer and will be assisted by an Asst. Soil \& Water Conservation Officer along with WDT members in which expertise is drawn from the relevant fields for achieving smooth and successful implementation of the project.

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of projects |  | Details of PIA |
| West Garo Hills | W.G.H. IWMP-VI | (i) Type of organization\# | Government |
|  |  | (ii) Name of organization | Soil \& Water Conservation (T) Division, |
|  |  | (iii) Designation \& Address | Divisional Officer, Tura Soil \& Water Cons.(T) Division, W.G.H, Tura Meghalaya. |
|  |  | (iv) Telephone | 03651-222354 |
|  |  | (v) Fax | 03651-222354 |
|  |  | (vi) E-mail | turadivsoil@gmail.com |

### 3.3 Institution Building

## i) Watershed Committee (WC)

The Watershed Committee of the Kimde Watershed IWMP-VI was constituted with the active involvement of the villagers with strong support of the Traditional Institutions (Village Durbar/Council). The Kimde Watershed Committee has been registered under the Society Registration Act 1983.

Table 3.2: Details of Watershed Committees (WC):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of projects | Names of WCs | Date of <br> Registration as a <br> Society (dd $/ \mathrm{mm} /$ <br> yyyy) | Designa tion | M/F | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | Land-less | UG | SHG | GP | Any other | Educational qualifycation | Function/s assigned\# |
| W.G.H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W.G.H- } \\ & \text { IWMP-VI } \end{aligned}$ | Kimde | Under progress | President | M |  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class <br> VIII | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Secretary | M |  | ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M.Sc <br> Forestry | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Member | 5 M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class II- | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Member | 3 F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VII | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Member |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A. PNP and PRA
C. Maintenance of Accounts
E. Supervision of construction activities
G. Verification \& Measurement
I. Social Audit
B. Planning
D. Signing of cheques and making payments
F. Cost Estimation
H. Record of labour employed
J. Any other (please specify).

## ii) Self Help Group

Awareness programmes were organized in the villages to inform and sensitize the people on the essence of organizing themselves in to homogenous groups for uplifting their livelihood especially for the women and the landless. Discussions were held at length with the WDT on the scope and procedure of group formation, availing credit, grading of the groups and so on.

Table 3.3: Details of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names | Names of projects | Total no. of registered SHGs |  |  |  | No. of members |  |  |  | No. of SC/ST in each category |  |  | No. of BPL in each category |  |  |
| of the Districts |  | With only Men | With only Women | With both | Total | Categories | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (i) Landless |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W.G. | IWMP | 1 N | 1 |  | 2 No | (ii) SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 No | (iii) MF | 10 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 20 | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iv) LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## iii) User Group

To manage the assets created and ensure their sustainability User Groups will be formed. The people have been sensitized on the importance of ensuring that the assets created are sustainably used and the essentiality of having User Groups for maintenance and operation of their assets

Table 3.4: User Group Details
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## CHAPTER IV PROJECT ACTIVITIES

### 4.1 Preparatory Phase:

i) Entry Point Activities (EPA)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. <br> No. | State | District | Names of Project | Amount earmarked for EPA | Entry Point Activities planned | Estimated cost | Expenditure incurred | Balance | Expected outcome | Actual outcome |
| 1 | Meghalaya | W.G.H | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | 3.00 Lakh | Construction of Spring Chamber/Ringwe 11 | 3.00 Lakh | - | - | - | Increase in availability of drinking water |

ii) Other activities of Preparatory Phase:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of Projects | Initiation of village level institution | Capacity building | IEC activities | Baseline survey | Hydrogeologic al survey | Identifying technical support agencies | Resource agree-ments | Prepar ation of DPR | Evaluatio n of DPR | Any other (please specify) | Cost incurre <br> d (Rs. <br> In lakh) |
| W.G.H | W.G.H IWMPVI | a) Rapport Building <br> b) <br> Community meeting <br> c)Formation of | a) Project concept/roles and responsibility of W.C <br> b) <br> Concept/roles and responsibility of SHG and UG <br> c) <br> Concept/roles and responsibility of of WDT members <br> d) Off-campus exposure trip to research Institutes/Estab lished farms etc. | a)Pamplets <br> b)Banners <br> c)Posters | a)Participa tory Rural Appraisals b)Socio Economic Survey | a)GPS survey <br> b)Engineering Survey | a) NIRD <br> b)SIRD <br> c)ICAR <br> d)NEHU | a) NOC with village headman for under-taking develop-mental works <br> b) Agreement for convergence of NREGS scheme with IWMP with VEC. | a)Reso urce invent ory works | Done |  | 1.5 |

### 4.2 Watershed Works Phase:

### 4.2.1 Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nam e of State s | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Distric } \\ \text { ts } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Projects | Type of structures | Pre Project |  |  | Proposed Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Area irriga ted (ha) | Stora <br> ge capac ity | Augmentation/ repair of existing structures |  |  |  | Construction of new structures |  |  |  | Total target |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \mathrm{o} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | NoArea <br> to be <br> treate <br> d (ha) |  | Storag e capaci ty | Estimat ed cost (in lakhs) | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity (per unit) | Estimate <br> d cost <br> (in <br> lakhs) | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storag e capacit $\underset{\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)}{\mathrm{y}}$ | Estimat ed cost |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meg } \\ \text { halay } \\ \text { a } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G. } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | W.G.H-IWMPVI | Dug out Pond | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | C.C Check cum irrigation dam | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 90 | $675 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 12.50 | 4 | 90 | $675 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 10.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Water harvesting farm pond | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 135 | $1012 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 10.00 | 4 | 135 | $\begin{gathered} 1012 \\ \mathrm{~m}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | 10.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Irrigation Channel | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 340 \\ & \text { rmt } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | - | 0.17 | $\begin{aligned} & 340 \\ & \text { rmt } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | - | 0.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 335 | $2512 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 22.67 |  | 335 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 5 1 2} \\ \mathrm{m}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | 22.67 |


| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achievement due to project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Augmentation/ repair of existing structures |  |  |  | Construction of new structures |  |  |  | Total achievement |  |  | Change in storage capacity ( col 8 8-6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { irrigated } \\ & \text { area (ha) } \\ & \text { Col. (8-6) } \end{aligned}$ |
| No | Area irrigate d (ha) | Storage capacity | Expendit ure incurred (in lakhs) | No | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Expenditur e incurred (in lakhs) | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimated incurred |  | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.2 Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name } \\ & \text { s of } \\ & \text { States } \end{aligned}$ | Nam es of Distri cts | Namesofproject$s$ | Type of structur es | Pre-project |  | Proposed target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Achievement due to project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Changeinirrigated area(Col.$8-6)$(ha) |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\text { No. } \begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { irrigate } \\ \text { d (ha) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Augmentation/ repair of existing recharging structures |  |  | Construction of new recharging structures |  |  | Total target |  | Augmentation/ repair of existing recharging structures |  |  | Construction of new recharging structures |  |  | Total achievement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Area to be irriga ted (ha) | Estim ated cost | No. | Area to be irriga ted (ha) | Estim ated cost | Area to be irrigat ed (ha) | Estim ated cost | No. | Area irrigate d (ha) | Exp <br> endi <br> -ture <br> incu <br> rred | No | Area irrigated (ha) | Expe ndi- <br> ture <br> incur red | Area irrigated (ha) | Expe nditure incurr ed |  |
|  | Megh alaya |  | WGH <br> IWMP <br> -VI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (i)Dug } \\ & \text { out } \\ & \text { pond } \end{aligned}$ |  | NIL |  | NIL |  | 5 | 10 | 5.31 | 10 | 5.31 |  | NIL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | West Garo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total for the project |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 10 | 5.31 | 10 | 5.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.3 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas.

| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major activities of the UGs - Achievements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure/ activity |  |  |  | No. of UGs involved | Expenditure incurred (Rs.) | No. of mandays |  |  | Amount of WDF collected (Rs.) |
| Sl. <br> No. | Type | No.\# | Treated Area (ha.) |  |  | SC | ST | F |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

4.2.4 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas:

| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major activities of the UGs - Achievements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure/ activity |  |  |  | No. of UGs involved | Expenditure incurred (Rs.) | No. of mandays |  |  | Amount of WDF collected (Rs.) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Type | No.\# | Treated Area (ha.) |  |  | SC | ST | F |  |
| 1 | CC.C.Check Dam cum Irrigation | 5 | 85 | 1 | 12.50 | - | 3000 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 |
| 2 | Stone masonry Protection Wall | 6 | 75 | 2 | 3.00 | - | 720 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.15 |
| 3 | Earthen IrrigationChan nel | $\begin{aligned} & 340 \\ & \mathrm{rmt} \end{aligned}$ | 65 | 2 | 0.17 | - | 102 | 68 | 0.085 |
| Total |  |  | 205 | 5 | 13.5275 |  |  |  | 0.860 |

### 4.2.5 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of projects | Major activities of the SHGs |  |  |
|  |  | Name of activity | No. of SHGs <br> involved | Average annual income <br> from activity per SHG |
|  | W.G.H-IWMP-VI | Piggery/Poultry | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ |

4.2.6 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 9 <br> Total | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of | Total assistance received by the SHG (Amount in Rs.) |  |  |  | Total annual Income generated (Rs.) | Total annual Savings (Rs.) | No. of SHGs Graded as |  |  |  | No. of SHGs federated |
| SHGs training | Loan from revolving fund | Training | Material | Others <br> (pl. specify) |  |  | I | II | III | Amount of loan sanctioned by the bank(s) |  |
|  | NIL | 1.60 | NIL | Piggery/ Poultry | 1.50 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.7 Other activities of watershed works phase:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names of projects | Ridge area treatment |  | Drainage line treatment |  | Nursery raising |  | Land development |  | Crop <br> lemonstrat <br> ions |  | Horticulture \& Cash Crop Development |  | Veterinary services |  | Fishery development |  | Nonconvention al energy |  | Any other (please specify) |  | Total cost incurred (Rs In lakhs) |
|  |  | (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { (Rs) } \end{aligned}$ | (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { (Rs) } \end{aligned}$ |  | (b) <br> (Rs <br> ) | (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { (Rs) } \end{aligned}$ | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { (Rs) } \end{aligned}$ | (a) | (b) <br> (Rs) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W} \\ & \mathrm{G} \\ & \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | W.G.H IWMPVI | i)Improv ement of degraded forest(10 На) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | i)che ck dam <br> ii)pro tectio n wall iii)du gout pond iv)far m pond v)cha nnel | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ 0 \\ 3.00 \\ \\ 5.31 \\ \\ 10.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | i)Wet <br> Terra <br> ce(15 <br> На) | 2.00 | - | - | i)Rubb er <br> plantati <br> on(40 <br> На) <br> ii)Arec <br> anut <br> plantati <br> on <br> (25Ha) | 1.72 $1.72$ | Pig ger y/ Pou ltry | 2.16 | Pisc icul ture | 1.70 | - | - | i)Kitchen Gardening(160Unit ii)tailoring iii)weaving iv)Basketing making v)rice mill vi)Agriculture implements. <br> v)Carpentry vi)Grocery | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25 \\ & 2.24 \\ & 4.80 \\ & 0.15 \\ & 2.00 \\ & 1.15 \\ & 0.10 \\ & 2.70 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30.9 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 2.00 |  |  |  | 3.44 |  | 2.16 |  | 1.70 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 54.75 |

4.2.8

Details of engineering structures in watershed works:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Name of structures | Type of treatment |  |  | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | i) Ridge area (R) | Drainage line (D) | Land <br> Dev. <br> (L) | Private | Com-munity | Others (pl. pecify | (i) UG <br> (ii) SHG <br> (iii) Other (pl. specify) | No. of units (No./ cum./ rmt) | Estimated cost (Rs <br> in lakh)$\|$Ex <br> y |  |  | Expected month \& year of completi | No. of units (No./ cu.m./ rmt) | Expenditure incurred (Rs. in lakh) |  |  |  | Status of completion | Actual month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M |  | T | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{yyy} \\ \mathrm{y}) \end{gathered}$ |  | M | W | O | T |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \Psi \\ & \text { U } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H- } \\ \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { VI } \end{gathered}$ | C.C.Check cum irrigation Dam |  | D |  |  | Community |  | UG/WC | 4 Nos. | 7.5 | 5 | 12.5 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Stone masonry Protection Wall |  | D |  |  | Community |  | UG/WC | 6 Nos | 1.8 | 1.2 | 3 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wet Terrace |  |  | L | Private |  |  | UG/WC | 10 Ha |  | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dug-out Pond |  | D |  | Private |  |  | UG/WC | 17 Nos |  | 5.31 | 5.31 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Earthen Irrigation Channel |  | D |  |  | Community |  | UG/WC | 340 Rmi |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Water harvesting farm pond |  | D |  |  |  |  | UG/WC | 4 Nos | 6 | 4 | 10 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15. 3 | 17.6 <br> 8 | 32.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.9 Details of engineering structures in watershed works.

2.10 Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of structure/ work | Type of treatment |  |  | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |
| District | Project |  | (i) Ridge area (R) | (ii) Drainag e line (D) | (iii) <br> Land dev. <br> (L) | (i) <br> Priva <br> te | (ii) <br> Com munit y | (iii) <br> Other <br> s (pl. <br> specif <br> y) | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others <br> (pl. specify) | Area (ha) | No. of plants | Estimate d cost (Rs. in lakh) | Expected month \& year of completion (mm/ уууу) | Area <br> (ha) | No. of plants | Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh) | Actual month \& year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy) |
| W.G.H | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP } \\ \text {-VI } \end{gathered}$ | Improvemen t of Degraded Forest |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | WC/UG | 10 | 10000 | 0.36 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Arecanut |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Farmer | 25 | 30,000 | 1.72 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rubber |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Farmer | 40 | 18,000 | 1.72 | 3 yrs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  | 3.44 |  |  |  |  |  |

\# in case two or more activities are executed over same area, the figures in area treated should be accounted only once and should reflect only the actual watershed area treated.
4.2.11 Details of vegetative structures in watershed works: Phase - II (contd.):


### 4.2.12 Details of allied / other activities:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Name of activity @ | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  | Achievement |  |
|  |  |  | (i) <br> Privat <br> e | (ii) <br> Communit y | (iii) Others (landless) | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others (pl. specify) | Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh) | Expected month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) | Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh) | Actual month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) |
| West <br> Garo <br> Hills | IWMP-VI | Kitchen Gardening |  |  |  | Private | 0.25 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Agriculture implements |  | $\checkmark$ |  | SHG/UG | 1.15 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Piggery/ Poultry |  | $\checkmark$ |  | SHG/UG | 2.16 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Tailoring |  | $\checkmark$ |  | SHG/UG | 2.24 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Weaving | $\checkmark$ |  |  | SHG/UG | 4.80 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Basket making | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Private | 0.15 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Fingerlings | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Private | 0.25 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Carpentry | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Private | 0.10 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  | Rice mill |  | $\checkmark$ |  | SHG/UG | 2.00 | 3 yrs |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## (Contd.)

* from column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of Projects; from column no. 5, activity-wise totals, from column no. 6, type-wise totals, from coulmn no. 7 , agency-wise totals, from column no. 8 , total estimated cost, from column no. 9 , total expenditure incurred, structure-wise no. of completed works, from column no. 10 , item-wise totals, for the entire country may be indicated at the end of the table
@The activities given in this column are merely indicative and States are free to choose any other activity suited to the project area.
4.2.13 Details of allied / other activities:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{8} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Outcomes} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Income (Rs.)} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Mandays generated} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{No. of beneficiaries} <br>
\hline Name of activities \& Pre-project \& Post project \& SC \& ST \& Others \& Women \& Total \& SC \& ST \& Others \& Women \& Total <br>
\hline Kitchen Gardening \& 5000-6000 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 20,000- \\
& 25,000
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 20 \& NIL \& 10 \& 30 <br>
\hline Piggery \& 25,000-30,000 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 60,000- \\
& 70,000
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& NIL \& 30 \& 30 <br>
\hline Poultry \& 13,000-15,000 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 40,000- \\
& 45,000 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& NIL \& 30 \& 30 <br>
\hline Fingerlings \& NIL \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 50,000- \\
& 60,000 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 15 \& NIL \& 10 \& 25 <br>
\hline Rubber budded poly bag nursery \& NIL \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 85,000- \\
& 90,000
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& NIL \& 30

110 \& | 30 |
| :---: |
|  |
| 145 | <br>

\hline \& Total \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 35 \& \& 110 \& 145 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

### 4.3 Consolidation and withdrawal phase

Details of activities in the CPRs in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of projects | Name(s) of the villages | CPR <br> particulars | Activity proposed | Target |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Target area under | Estimated | Expected no. | Estimated contri- | Area treated | Expenditure | Actual no. of |  | f | days | WDF |
|  |  |  |  |  | activity <br> (ha) | Rs. | ries | WDF (Rs.) | activity (ha) | (Rs.) | -aries | SC | ST | F | (Rs.) |
| West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} \text { WGH } \\ \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { VI } \end{gathered}$ | Kimde | Repairing maintanance of CPR's |  |  | 0.875 |  | 0.04375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Manwapara |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER V <br> PROJECT PHASING \& BUDGETING

## CHAPTER V

PROJECT PHASING \& BUDGETING
ACTION PLAN OF KIMDE MICRO WATRSHED ( IWMP ) UNDER TERRITORIAL DIVISION, TURA.

Name of District : West Garo Hills
No. of villages Covered : 2 nos.
Project Area : 250.00 Ha .

## Name of C. \& R. D. Block : Rongram

| ( Figures in lakh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| SI. | Activities | I st Yr. (6\%) |  | II nd Yr.(14\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III rd Yr. } \\ & \text { (50\%) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV th Yr. } \\ & \text { (25\%) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vth Yr. } \\ & \text { (5\%) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total 100\% |  |
| No. |  | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. |
| Management Cost : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Administrative Cost : $10 \%$ | - | - | 2\% | 1.50 | 5\% | 3.75 | 3\% | 2.25 | - | - | 10\% | 7.50 |
|  | i) Honorarium of 1 WDT Member @ Rs.8000/- per month ii)Honorarium of watershed Committee Chairman | - | - | - | 0.16 | - | 0.96 | - | 0.48 | - | - | - | 1.60 |
|  | @ Rs. 500/- per month | - | - | - | 0.06 | - | 0.06 | - | 0.06 | - | - | - | 0.18 |
|  | iii) Honorarium of WCM @ Rs200/- per member per month | - | - | - | 0.216 | - | 0.216 | - | 0.216 | - |  | - | 0.648 |
|  | iv) Honorarium of Chartered Accountant | - | - | - | 0.16 | - | 0.20 | - | 0.20 | - | - | - | 0.56 |
|  | v) TA/DA of Field Asst. @ Rs.5000/- per month <br> vi) Hiring Charges of Office Building @ Rs.1000/- per | - | - | - | 0.30 | - | 0.60 | - | 0.30 | - | - | - | 1.20 |
|  | month | - | - | - | 0.12 | - | 0.12 | - | 0.12 | - | - | - | 0.36 |
|  | vii) Hiring Charges of Vehicles @ Rs.5000/- per month viii)Office expenses, POL, Stationeries,Printing of SHG books, | - | - | - | 0.30 0.184 | - | 0.60 0.994 | - - | 0.60 0.274 | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | 1.50 |
|  | pamphlets, tea \& snacks, cost of camera etc. |  |  | - | 0.184 | - | 0.994 | - | 0.274 | - | - | - | 1.452 |
|  | Total of 'A' |  |  | 2\% | 1.50 | 5\% | 3.75 | 3\% | 2.25 |  |  | 10\% | 7.50 |
| B | Preparatory Phase : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Entry Point Activities ; 4 \% | 4\% | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4\% | 3.00 |
|  | i) Construction of Spring Chamber @ Rs. 60000/- per no. | 3 | 1.80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1.80 |
|  | ii) Construction of Ringwell @ Rs.60000/- per no. | 2 | 1.20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1.20 |
|  | Total of 'B' | 4\% | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4\% | 3.00 |


| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. | Institution \& Capacity Building ; 5 \% | 1\% | 0.75 | 2\% | 1.50 | 1\% | 0.75 | 1\% | 0.75 |  |  | 5\% | 3.75 |
|  | i) Awareness Campaign | - | 0.20 | - | 0.20 | - | 0.15 | - | 0.20 | - | - | - | 0.75 |
|  | ii) Exposure visits off-campus | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | 0.3 | - | 0.35 | - | - | - | 1.15 |
|  | iii)Capacity Building of SHGs/UGs | - | 0.20 | - | 0.40 | - | 0.20 | - | 0.20 | - | - | - | 1.00 |
|  | iv) Capacity Building of WC members | - | 0.15 | - | 0.40 | - | 0.10 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.65 |
|  | v) Capacity Building of WDT/W Volunteer | - | 0.20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.20 |
|  | Total of 'C' | 1\% | 0.75 | 2\% | 1.50 | 1\% | 0.75 | 1\% | 0.75 |  |  | 5\% | 3.75 |
| D. | Detail Project Report (DPR) - 1\% | 1\% | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1\% | 0.375 |
|  | i) Cost of Resources Inventories works | - | 0.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.25 |
|  | ii) Cost of PRA | - | 0.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.10 |
|  | iii) Cost of Land use survey | - | 0.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.25 |
|  | iv) Cost of formulating | - | 0.15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.15 |
|  | Total of 'D' | 1\% | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1\% | 0.75 |
| E. | i) Monitoring-1\% | - | - | - | 0.15 | - | 0.375 | - | 0.225 | - | - | 1\% | 0.75 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.2\% | 0.15 | 0.5\% | 0.375 | 0.3\% | 0.225 |  |  | 1\% | 0.75 |
| F. | ii) Evaluation-1\% | - | - | - | 0.225 | - | 0.375 | - | 0.15 | - | - | 1\% | 0.75 |
|  | Total of 'E' |  |  | 0.3\% | 0.225 | 0.5\% | 0.375 | 0.2\% | 0.15 |  |  | 1\% | 0.75 |
|  | Total of I ( A to F ) | 6\% | 4.5 | 4.5\% | 3.375 | 7\% | 5.25 | 4.5\% | 3.375 |  |  | 22\% | 16.50 |
| I/ | Watershed Works Phase : $50 \%$ |  |  | 7.50\% | 5.625 | 35\% | 26.25 | 7.50\% | 5.625 |  |  | 50\% | 37.50 |
| A. | Arable Land Treatment : <br> iii) Terracing - <br> @ Rs.20000/-ha. | - | - | 6 | 1.20 | - | - | 4 | 0.80 | - | - | 10 | 2.00 |
|  | Total of 'A' |  |  |  | 1.20 |  |  |  | 0.80 |  |  |  | 2.00 |
| B. | Non-Arable Land Treatment : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) Arecanut Plantation - Prelim. @ Rs.1300/- per ha. | - | - | 20 | 0.26 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | 0.26 |
|  | 1st year Planting @ Rs.4600/-per Ha. | - | - | - | 0.92 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | 0.92 |
|  | 2nd year Planting @ Rs. 2700/- per Ha. | - | - | - | - | - | 0.54 | - | - | - | - |  | 0.54 |
|  | b) Imprvt .of Degraded Forest -Prelim. @ Rs.700/- per Ha. | - | - | 30 | 0.21 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 0.21 |
|  | 1 st year Planting @ Rs. 1900/- per Ha. | - | - | - | 0.57 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 0.57 |
|  | 2 nd year Planting @ Rs. 1000/-per ha. | - | - | - | - | - | 0.30 | - | - | - | - |  | 0.30 |



| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v$ | Consolidation \& withdrawal Phase - 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 3.75 | 5\% | 3.75 |
|  | i) Repairing \& maintenance of CPR's | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.75 | - | 1.75 |
|  | ii) Improving the sustainability of various intervention | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 |
|  | iii)Documentation of successful experience and preparation of Completion Report. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 |
| Total of $V$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 3.75 | 5\% | 3.75 |
| Grand Total$(I+I I+I I I+I V+V)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6\% | 4.5 | 14\% | 10.50 | 50\% | 37.50 | 25\% | 18.75 | 5\% | 3.75 | 100\% | 75.00 |

Deputy Commissioner,
West Garo Hills, Tura
Meghalaya.

Divisional Officer,
Soil \& Water Conservation ( T ) Division.
West Garo Hills.

## VILLAGEWISE ACTION PLAN OF KIMDE MICRO WATERSHED UNDER IWMP - VI TERRITORIAL DIVISION : TURA

Name of District : West Garo Hills
Name of C.\& R.D. Block: Zikzak

No. of village : 2 noS.
Project Area : 500 Ha

| SI. | Activities | Kimdegre |  | Manwapara |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| I | Watershed works Phase: <br> Non Arable Land Treatment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i) Rubber Plantation @ Rs8600/- per Ha. <br> ii) Arecanut Plantation @ Rs. 8600/- per Ha. | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 1.72 |
|  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 20 | 1.72 |
|  | iii)Degraded Forest @ 3600/- Arable Land Treatment : | 15 | 0.54 | 15 | 0.54 | 30 | 1.08 |
| B. | T erracing | 6 | 1.20 | 4 | 0.80 | 10 | 2.00 |
| C. | Drainage Line Treatment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i) Irrigation Dam @ Rs. 250000/- per no. ii) W/H Farm Pond @ Rs. 250000/- per no. | 3 | 7.50 5.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 4 | 12.50 10.00 |
|  | iii) Dug out Pond @ Rs. 50000/- per no. | 3 | 2.655 | 3 | 2.655 | 6 | 5.31 |
|  | iv) Protection Wall @ Rs. 50000/- per no. | 3 | 1.50 | 3 | 1.50 | 6 | 3.00 |
|  | v) Earthen. Channel @ Rs.50/- per R/m | 199 | 0.0995 | 140 | 0.07 | 339 | 0.1695 |
| III | Livelihood Activities for Assetless |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i) Kitchn Gardn @ Rs. 2500/- per unit | 6 | 0.15 | 5 | 0.125 | 11 | 0.25 |
|  | ii) Pisciculture @ Rs. 10000/- per unit | 10 | 1.00 | 7 | 0.70 | 17 | 1.70 |
|  | iii)Tailoring @ 8000/- | 10 | 0.80 | 15 | 1.20 | 25 | 2.24 |
|  | iv) Poultry @ 8000/- | 15 | 1.20 | 12 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 2.16 |
| IV | Production System and Micro ss |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i) Grocery @ Rs. 30000/- <br> ii)Weaving @ .30000/- <br> iii)Carpentry @ 5000/- <br> iv) Rice Mill | 4 | 1.20 | 3 | 0.90 | 7 | 2.70 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.20 | 3 | 0.90 | 7 | 2.70 |
|  |  | 1 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 2 | 1.00 | 2 | 1.00 | 4 | 2.00 |

## Details of the types of areas covered under the IWMP Programme:



Fund provision for the IWMP projects from all sources:


Details of Project Fund Accounts of Distt. Agency and Watershed Committees:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Names of States | Name of Districts | Names of Projects | Distt. Agency's Project Account details |  |  |  | Watershed Committee (WC) account details: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened | Account Number (to be obtained confidentially) | Account type (Savings/ Current/ Others) |  <br> Designatio n of authorized persons who operate the account. | Name of Watershed Committee | Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened | Account number (to be obtained confidentially | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Account } \\ & \text { type } \\ & \text { (Savings/ } \\ & \text { current } \\ & \text { others) } \end{aligned}$ | Name \& Designation of authorized persons who operate the account. |
| 1 | Megha laya | W.G.H | W.G.H IWMPVI | Tura Axis Bank | $\begin{gathered} 91102000- \\ 9285531 \end{gathered}$ | Current | Shri. <br> Garry <br> Mitchell <br> K. Marak | Kimde | Tura Axis Bank | $\begin{gathered} 91102000 \\ -9285531 \end{gathered}$ | Current | Chairman W.C <br> Secretary W.C <br> Project Leader/WD T |

Details of Convergence of IWMP with other Schemes:


## Public-Private Partnership in the IWMP projects: NIL



* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, total no. of projects under PPP; from Column no. 5, total no. of private companies/ agencies, from column no. 7, total amounts may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

CHAPTER VI
CAPACITY BUILDING

## CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity Building is a process to systematically upgrade the skill of individuals or groups for achieving a specific target. Capacity building in the project has been planned for all the stake holders involved i.e. State Level, District Level, Project Level and Village Level. The relevant details pertaining to Capacity Building has been shown below.

Table 6.1: List of approved Training Institutes for Capacity Building:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stat <br> e | Name of the Trainin g Institut e |  | Name \& |  |  |  |  |  | Performa |  |  |
|  |  |  | Full Address with contact no., website \& e-mail | Designat ion of the Head of Institute | Type of Institute ${ }^{\#}$ | Area(s) of specialization ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | Accreditatio <br> n details | Refer <br> -ence <br> Year | No. of training s assigne d | No. of trainees to be trained | No. of trainings conducte d | No. of trainee s trained |
| 1 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { NIRD } \\ \text { (NER) } \end{array} \end{array}$ | Guwahati | Director | Central Govt. | Remote Sensing, Rural Devt. | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | SIRD | Nongsder | Director | State Govt. | Capacity Building | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | RRTC | Umran <br> Meghalaya | Director | DonBosco | Agri-Horti, Animal <br> Husbandry, <br> Entrepreneurship | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ICAR/ } \\ & \text { KVIC } \end{aligned}$ | Umiam/Tura Meghalaya | Director | Central Govt. | Do | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | MRDS | Shillong <br> Meghalaya | Director | State Govt. | Animal Husbandry | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | NEHU | Shillong/Tur <br> a <br> Meghalaya | Director | Central Govt. | Agri-Horti, Fruit Processing | NA |  |  |  |  |  |

- From Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, no. of training institutes, from column No. 9, total no. of category-wise trainings and trainees may be given at the end of the table for the entire country
- \# Central govt. Dept./ State govt. Dept./ Autonomous Body/ Research Institutes/ Universities/ Others (pl. specify)
\$ Capacity Building/ Agriculture/ Horticulture/ Animal Husbandry/ Pisciculture/ Remote Sensing/ Water conservation/ Ground water/ Forestry/ livelihoods/ entrepreneurship development/ others (pl. specify)
${ }^{\circledR}$ The training institutes must fulfill the conditions mentioned in the operations guidelines.
(i) Technical experts in fields required by IWMP
(ii) Past experiences
(iii) Annual Turnover
(iv) Receives funds either from the Central or State Government
(v) Publications
(vi) Not blacklisted by any Govt. organizations
(vii) Audited accounts
(viii) Organizational structure

Table 6.2: Capacity Building activities for the year $\underline{2010-11}$ as on $\underline{\mathbf{3 1} / 03 / 2010}$ (dd/mm/yyyy)*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Stakeholders | Total no. of persons | No. of persons trained so far | No. of persons to be trained during current financial year | No. of persons trained during current financial year | Sources of funding for training |  | Funds utilized (Lakhs) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | a) DoLR | b) Any other (Pl. specify) | a) DoLR | b) Any other <br> (Pl. specify) |
| PIAs | 10 | NIL | 10 | NIL | 3.75 | NIL | 0.75 | NIL |
| WDTs | 5 | NIL | 5 | NIL |  |  |  |  |
| UGs | 40 | NIL | 40 | NIL |  |  |  |  |
| SHGs | 50 | NIL | 50 | NIL |  |  |  |  |
| WCs | 10 | NIL | 10 | NIL |  |  |  |  |
| GPs | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |  |  |  |
| Community | 280 | NIL | 280 | NIL |  |  |  |  |
| Others Pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 395 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 3.75 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 |

Table 6.3: Information, Education \& Communication (IEC) activities for the year 10-11 as on $\mathbf{3 1 / 0 3 / 1 0}$ (dd/mm/yyy)*

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Activity | Executing agency | Estimated expenditure <br> (Rs.) | Expenditure <br> incurred <br> (Rs.) | Outcome <br> (may quantity, wherever possible) |
| 1. | Resources Inventories Works | S\&WC (T) Division | 0.25 | - | - |
| 2 | Land Use Survey Works | S\&WC (T) Division | 0.25 | - | - |
| 3 | Cost of formulating | S\&WC (T) Division | 0.15 | - | - |

## CHAPTER VII

EXPECTED OUTCOME

## CHAPTER VII EXPECTED OUTCOME

Table 7.1 Employment related outcomes:

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Sl} \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Village | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wage employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Self employment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. of mandays |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
| 1. | Kimdegre |  | 3006 |  | 2664 | 5670 |  | 120 |  | 62 | 182 |  | 15 |  | 8 | 23 |
| 2. | Manwapara |  | 7290 |  | 4200 | 11490 |  | 180 |  | 97 | 277 |  | 25 |  | 18 | 43 |
|  | Total |  | 10296 |  | 6864 | 17160 |  | 200 |  | 159 | 459 |  | 40 |  | 26 | 66 |

Table 7.2 Migration Details:

| $\qquad$ <br> Names of the Districts | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 <br> For reduced migration <br> identify major activities <br> of IWMP responsible |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names of Projects | Name of village | No. of persons migrating | No. of | Major | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distance } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { destination } \end{gathered}$ | Occupation during migration | Income from such occupation (Rs. in lakh) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | year of migration | for migrating | migration from the village (km) |  |  | (a) <br> Structures | (b) <br> Livelihoods |
|  |  |  |  | N | I | L |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of villages; from column no. 6 , total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 7, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 9, average distance of migration from the village and form column no. 11, average income from occupation during migration, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.3 Economic benefits accrued to women:

| 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages |  | Training |  | Livelihoods |  |  |
| Woman days | Amount (Rs. in lakh) | No. of women participants | Amount (Rs. in lakh) | No. of women beneficiaries | Value of assistance provided (Rs. in lakh) | Total (Rs. in lakh) |
| 6864 | 10.70 | 66 | 0.40 | 20 | 3.00 | 14.10 |

* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3 to 6, category-wise totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.4 Details of rights conferred in the CPRs of the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of the projects | Names of the villages | Particular of CPR | Nature of right | Period of right | Beneficiary details (no. of families) |  |  |  | User Charges (Rs.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | SC | St | Others | Total |  |
| Meghalaya | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | Kimdegre | Reserved forest | FW/MFP/ $\mathrm{T}$ | Unspecifie d |  | 43 |  | 43 |  |
|  |  |  | Spring Chamber | Wd | Unspecifie d |  | 18 |  | 18 |  |
|  |  |  | Check dam | Wi | Unspecifie d |  | 30 |  | 30 |  |
|  |  |  | Irrigation Channel | Wi | Unspecifie d |  | 30 |  | 30 |  |
|  |  | Manwapara | Reserved forest | FW/MFP/ <br> T | Unspecifie d |  | 23 |  | 23 |  |
|  |  |  | Spring Chamber | Wd | Unspecifie d |  | 12 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  | Check dam | Wi | Unspecifie d |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |
|  |  |  | Irrigation Channel | Wi | Unspecifie d |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |

- From column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, no. of projects; from column no. 5, no. of villages; from column nos. $9 \& 10$, particular-wise totals for the entire country may be given at the end of the table.
@ In column no. 6, the categories given in table no. $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{SP})$ 10, column 5 may be filled as required.
\# In column no. 7, only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.

| F | for right to | fishing [culture, harvest and sale] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fw | for right to | collect firewood for domestic purposes |
| G | for right to | grazing for cattle and |
| MFP | for right to | collect and sell minor forest produces |
| P | for right to | passage across the CPR |
| Rd | for right to | construct a road for access to individual property |
| $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{M}$ | for right to | collect and sell sand and minerals |
| T | for right to | collect timber for construction of house |
| Wd | for right to | collect/ use water for drinking |
| Wi | for right to | use water for irrigation |
| O | for any right other than indicated above (please specify) |  |

## Table 7.5 Water related outcomes:

Table 7.5.1 Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas of the Country: State-wise * (in metres)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of Projects | Sources | Pre-Project level | Mid-term project level | Post-Project level | Increase/decrease (Col. 8 - Col. 6) | Remarks |
| Meghalaya | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | Open Well | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  | Bore Well | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  | Other (specific) Spring | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

- From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 to 9 , the average measurements, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the table. The data must be based on the average of the Ground Water Table collected by PIA with the help of concerned technical expert in the same sample of $10 \%$ of selected wells and bore wells in the villages in the watershed project area during pre-project, mid-term and post-project periods.

Table 7.5.2 Status of Drinking water:

| District | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of the project | Availability of drinking water (no. of monyhs in a year) |  |  | Quality of drinking water |  |  | Comments |
|  |  | Preproject | Postproject | Change in availability | Preproject | Postproject | Change in quality |  |
| Meghalaya | $\begin{gathered} \text { WGH } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | Insufficient | Sufficient | $\begin{gathered} 10-12 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | Moderate | Improved | Better drinking water supply |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, category-wise no. of projects, from column no. 5, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.


## Table 7.5.3 Water Use efficiency:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of the project | Name of major crop | Water savings in cu.m. |  |  |  |
| District |  |  | through water saving devices ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | through water conserving agronomic practices ${ }^{*}$ | Any other (pl specify) | Total |
| W.G.H | $\begin{gathered} \text { WGH } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | Paddy | NA | NA | NA |  |
|  |  | Maize | NA | NA | NA |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, practice-wise totals may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.
${ }^{\$}$ Sprinkler, Drip, PVC pipe, etc.
\# Vermi-compost, organic manuring, Mulching, Check basin, Alternate furrow, Ridges \& furrow \& other scientific practices.

Table 7.6: Vegetation/ crop related outcomes:
Table 7.6.1 Details of Karif crop area and yield in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Name of Projects | Name of crops | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { Ave } \\ \mathbf{Y i} \\ (\mathbf{Q t l} \\ \mathbf{h} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Qtl) |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Qtl) |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  |
|  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
| W.G.H |  | Paddy | - | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ .97 \end{gathered}$ | - | 12 | - | $\begin{gathered} 1715 . \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 62 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | 15 | - | 931.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 167 . \\ & 07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 16 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 2673.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 570 |
|  |  | Maize | - | 40 | - | 26 | - | 1040 | - | 33 | - | 24 | - | 792 |  | 33 |  | 24 | - | 792 |
|  |  | Vegetable | - | 5 | - | 30 | - | 150 | - | 5 | - | 30 | - | 150 | 6 | 4 | 36 | 30 | 216 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - | $\begin{array}{r} 187 \\ .97 \end{array}$ | - | 68 | - | $\begin{gathered} 2905 . \\ 64 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ .1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 69 | - | $\begin{gathered} 1873 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 173 . \\ 07 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 75 | 52 | 69 | $\begin{gathered} 2889.1 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1512 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.
Irri. - Irrigated Rf-Rainfed

Table 7.6.2 Details of Rabi crop area and yield in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl } \\ \mathbf{N o} \\ \cdot \end{gathered}$ | Names of States | Names of the District S | Name of Projects | Nam e of crop S | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | Total Producti on (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Qtl) |  | Total Productio n (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Qtl) |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irri | R $\mathbf{f}$ | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf | Irri | Rf. |
|  | Meghalaya | West Garo | IWMP- |  | Paddy | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 559 \\ .2 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ .6 \end{gathered}$ | - | 15 | - | 204 9 | 197 | - | 15 | - | 295 5 |
|  |  | Hills | VI |  | Vegeta bles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 36 | - | 216 | 6 | - | 36 | - | 216 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 559 \\ .2 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ .6 \end{gathered}$ | - | 51 | - | 226 5 | 203 | - | 51 | - | 317 1 |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - Irrigated Rf-Rainfed

Table 7.6.3 Details of Zaid crop area and yield in the project areas of the Country: State-wise:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Names of States | Names of the Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Project } \\ \mathbf{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { crops } \end{aligned}$ | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | Total Product ion (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Qtl) |  | Total Producti on (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Qtl) |  | Total Producti on (Qtl) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Irr} \\ \mathbf{i} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irr | Rf. |
|  | Meghalay | West | IWMP- | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |
|  |  | Garo | VI | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |
|  |  | Hills |  | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |
|  |  |  |  | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - Irrigated Rf-Rainfed

Table 7.6.4 Increase/ Decrease in area under fodder:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Existing area under fodder (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District | Name of project | Duration of Project | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under fodder | Area under fodder proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under fodder actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under fodder |
| W.G.H | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | 5 yrs | NA | NA | NA | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.5 Increase/ Decrease in Forest/vegetation cover:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of project | Duration of Project | Existing area tree cover (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under forest/vegetative cover | Forest/vegetative cover area proposed to be covered under IWMP | Forest/vegetative cover area actually covered under IWMP | Change in forest/vegetative cover area |
| W.G.H | W.G.H <br> IWMP-VI | 5 yrs | Land use survey conducted by the Department | 2010 | 36.50 | 30 | - | 66.50 |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.6 Increase/ Decrease in area under horticulture:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Existing area under horticulture (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District | Name of project | Duration of Project | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area <br> already under horticulture | Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under horticulture actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under horticulture |
| W.G.H | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | 5 yrs | Land use survey conducted by the Department | 2010 | 272.40 | 40 | 仡 | 312.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.7 Increase/ Decrease in area under fuel-wood:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of project | Duration of Project | Existing area under fodder (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under fuelwood | Area under fuelwood proposed to be covered under IWMP | Area under fuel-wood actually covered under IWMP | Change in area under fuel-wood |
| W.G.H | $\begin{gathered} \text { W.G.H } \\ \text { IWMP-VI } \end{gathered}$ | 5 yrs | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.7 Livelihood related outcomes:
Table 7.7.1 Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Name of Projects | Type of Animal | Pre-project |  |  | Mid-term |  |  | Post-project |  |  | Remarks |
|  |  |  | No. | Yield | Income | No. | Yield | Income | No. | Yield | Income |  |
|  | W.G.H | Cattle | 94 | 250 | 7500 | 94 | 250 | 7500 | - | - | - | Use for |
| West Garo | IWMP- | Piggery | 50 | - | 125000 | 50 |  | 125000 | 65 | - | 175000 |  |
| Hills | VI | Poultry | 103 | - | 14000 | 103 | - | 14000 | 150 | - | 20000 | local |
|  |  | Goatery | 35 | 50 | 3750 | 35 | 50 | 3750 | - | - | - | consumption |
|  | Total for all projects |  | 282 | 300 | 150250 | 282 | 300 | 150250 | 215 | - | 195000 | self production earning. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 5 to 8, the total nos. of animals and the average yield and incomes, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.2 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro <br> ject | Name of activity | Fund require $d$ for the activity (Rs.) | Sources of funding (Rs.) |  |  |  | Actual Expenditu re incurred onactivity (Rs.) | No. of beneficiaries trained |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries taking up activity |  |  |  |  |
| Distric |  |  |  | Projec t Fund | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benef } \\ & \text { i } \\ & \text {-ciary } \end{aligned}$ | Others (pl. specify ) | Total |  | SC | ST | Othe rs | Wome <br> n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | SC | ST | Oth ers | Wom en | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tota } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ |
| West Garo Hills | $\begin{gathered} \text { WG } \\ \text { H } \\ \text { IW } \\ \text { MP- } \\ \text { VI } \end{gathered}$ | Kitchen gardening | - | 4.00 | - | - | 4.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Dug out Pond | - | 3.00 | - | - | 3.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Rice Mill |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | - | 7.50 | - | - | 7.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Contd.)

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of activities; from column no. 6 , total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, category-wise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.3 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

| 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity |  | Annual increase in income due to activity (Rs.) | Impact of livelihoods programme |  |  |  | Any other information (pl. Specify) |
|  |  | Migration(No. of beneficiaries) | Development of backwardforward linkages |  |  |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand Total } \\ & (8+9) \end{aligned}$ |  | Pre-project | Post-project | Pre-project | Post-project |  |
| - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 7.7.4 Details of other livelihoods created for farmers:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of activity | Fund required for the activity (Rs.) in lakhs | Sources of funding (Rs.) in Lakhs |  |  |  | Actual Expenditure incurred on activity (Rs.) | No. of farmers trained |  |  |  | No. of farmers taking up activity |  |  |  |
| District | Project |  |  | Project Fund | Benefi -ciary | Others (pl. specify) | Total |  | SF | MF | LF | Total | SF | MF | LF | Total |
| West Garo Hills | WGH IWMPVI | Wet Terrace | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  | 2.00 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | Dug-out <br> Pond | 5.31 | 5.31 |  |  | 5.31 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | Rubber <br> Plantation | 1.72 | 1.72 |  |  | 1.72 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | Arecanut Plantation | 1.72 | 1.72 |  |  | 1.72 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | Pisciculture | 1.70 | 1.70 |  |  | 1.70 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | Kitchen garden | 0.25 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.25 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | tailoring | 1.70 | 1.70 |  |  | 1.70 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | Piggery/Po ultry | 2.16 | 2.16 |  |  | 2.16 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of activities; from column no. 6, total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, category-wise totals, from column no. 13, categorywise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.5 Details of other livelihoods created for farmers * (contd.)

| 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity |  | Annual increase in income due to activity (Rs.) | Impact of livelihoods programme |  |  |  | Any other information (pl. Specify) |
|  |  | Migration (No. of beneficiaries) | Development of backwardforward linkages |  |  |
| Total | Grand Total $(8+9)$ |  | Pre-project | Post-project | Pre-project | Post-project |  |
| NIL | NIL |  | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |
| NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |
| NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |
| NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |

Table 7.8 Marketing related outcomes:
Backward-Forward linkages *

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Type of Marketing Facility | Pre-project (no.) | During the project (no.) | Post-project (no.) |
| WEST GARO HILLS | IWMP-VI | (A) Backward linkages |  |  |  |
|  |  | (i) Seed certification | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (ii) Seed supply system | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (iii) Fertilizer supply system | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (iv) Pesticide supply system | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (v) Credit institutions | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | (vi) Water supply | NIL | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | (vii) Extension services | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (viii) Nurseries | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (ix) Tools/machinery suppliers | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (x) Price Support system | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (xi) Labour | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (xii) Any other (please specify) | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (A) Forward linkages |  |  |  |
|  |  | (i) Harvesting/threshing machinery | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (ii) Storage (including cold storage) | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (iii) Road network | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | (iv) Transport facilities | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (v) Markets / Mandis | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (vi) Agro and other Industries | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (vii) Milk and other collection centres | NIL | NIL | NIL |
|  |  | (viii) Labour | NIL | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | (ix) Any other (please specify) | NIL | NIL | NIL |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. $6,7 \& 8$, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.9 Abstract of outcomes:


Table 7.10 Cost effectiveness of structures/ activities*

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of <br> project | Name of <br> WC | Name of <br> structure/ <br> activity | Estimated <br> cost (Rs.) | Expected <br> quantifiable <br> benefits (Rs.) | Expenditure <br> incurred <br> (Rs.) | Actual <br> quantifiable <br> benefit (Rs.) | Benefit: <br> Cost <br> ratio |
| West Garo <br> Hills | WGH <br> IWMP-VI | Kimde | As per <br> work plan | 54.75 | 86.2 |  | - | - |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, no. of projects, from column no. 5, no. of WCs, from column no. 6, no. of structures/ activities, from column no. 7 to 10 , category-wise\# totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.
\# B:C ratio more than 1 - cost effective
less than 1 - Not cost effective


## ANNEXTURE-1

## MAPS



AGROCLIMATIC ZONE MAP



LAND USE LAND COVER MAP





# ANNEXTURE-III 

## Cost-Estimates

## MODEL NORMS PER HACTARE FOR TERRACING ( IWMP )

## A. Technical Parameters

i) Average terrace width recommended (m) 15.00
ii) Vertical Interval (VI) $=W \times 5 / 100-S \quad 2.5$
iii) Terrace Length $(m)=A / W+V I \quad 767.00$
iv) Earthwork $=12.50 \times$ W $^{2}$ S m${ }^{3} 1200.00$
v) Shoulder Bund Length 779.00
vi) Shoulder Bund Length $x$-section ( $m^{2}$ ) 0.08
vii) Earthwork for shoulder Bund ( $m^{3}$ ) 62.32
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { viii)Area available for cultivation (Ha.) } & 0.87\end{array}$
B. Cost estimate Amount.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { i) Jungle clearance including uprooting of stumps } & 2000.00 \\ \text { (L/s) }\end{array}$
ii) Cost of terracing @ Rs.10/- $\mathrm{m}^{3} 115000.00$
iii) Cost of shoulder Bund @ Rs. 7/-m³ 850.00
iv) Dressing, shaping and grading of terrace 950.00
v) Water Disposal structure (L/s) 1200.00
G. Total 20000.00
(Rupees twenty
thousand) only.

## MODEL NORMS PER HA. FOR IMPROVEMENT OF DEGRADED FOREST (IWMP).

(Rate as per PWD SOR for R \& B for 2008-09)

(Rupees three thousand six hundred) only.

## MODEL NORMS PER HACTARE FOR RUBBER CULTIVATION .

Spacing - ( $4.75 \times 4.75$ ) m
Plant density - 450 nos.

## Preliminary works

A.
i) Cost of seedling .... L/s.
s..................
Rs. 800.00
ii) Box terracing including pit digging ( $0.45 \times 0.45 \times 0.45) \mathrm{m} . . \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s}$...

| $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . R s . ~$ 1350.00 <br> sub-total Rs. 9000.00 | $\underline{500.00}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1300.00 |  |

B. Ist Year Planting.
i) Cost of Fertilisers (NPK 45:30:45) including transportation
Rs. 2000.00
ii) Cost of 2 times application (June-July and September - October)

14 mandays @ Rs. 100/- each
Rs. 1400.00
iii) 1st year weeding

Rs. 1200.00
Sub-total Rs. 4600.00
C. II nd year maintenance .
i) 2nd year weeding

Rs. 2700.00
Sub-total Rs. 2700.00

Grand Total Rs. 8600.00
(Rupeeseight thousand six hundred)
only.

## COST ESTIMATE PER UNIT FOR INTEGRATED FARMING SYSTEM (IWMP).

A. Piggery;
i) Construction of sty @ Rs. 20000/- each Rs. 20000.00
ii) Cost of Piglets - 10 nos. @ Rs. 20000/- each
iii) Cost of feeds for 6 months (L/s)

Rs. 20000.00
Rs.
10000.00
B. Construction of Dug out Pond ( $25.00 \times 25.00$ ) m (as per estimate)

Rs.
60000.00

Supply of fingerlings -1500 nos. @ Rs.3000/-per 1000 nos.
C. $(L / s)$
D. Kitchen Garden ;
i) Site preparation including Bunding, shaping etc.
3500.00
ii) cost of F.Y.M. including cost of applicaton
4000.00
iii) Cost of equipmqnts and tools etc. 1500.00
iv) Cost of seeds including sowing etc.

|  | Rs. | 1500.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| G. Total | Rs. | 125000.00 |

## (Rupees one lakh twenty five thousand)

 only.
## Estimate for the construction of Ring Well.

(Based as per P.W.D. S.O.R. for roads, bridges and E\&D works 2009-2010)

Excavation for
1/134 structures.
(1) Ordinary

Soil.
A.(ii) 3 m . to 6 m .
depth.

|  | 1 | x | \%/4 | x | (1.20) | x | 5.25 | = | 5.93 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | x | $\pi / 4$ | x | (4.20) | x | 0.30 | = | 4.15 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Less: | 1 | x | $\pi / 4$ | x | (1.20) | x | 0.30 | = | (-)0.34 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |

(i) Upto 3m.depth.
@ Rs. 61 /- $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ Rs. 594.14
$1 \times 8.00 \times 0.50 \times 0.45=1.80 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
@ Rs. 47 /- m³
Rs. $\quad \mathbf{8 4 . 6 0}$
2/69 Providing and paying reinforced c.c.pipe for ring well including fixing collar with cement mortar 1:2 etc.
(A) 1200 mm
dia.
Length $=6.25$ metres .

$$
@ \quad \text { Rs. } 5621 \text { /- m }
$$

Rs. $\quad 35131.25$

3/103 Providing and laying of dry rubble flooring complete.


4/141 Plain/Reinforced c.c. in open foundation complete.
(A) PCC G - M
-15

| 1 | X | $\pi$ | x | 4.20 | x | $1.50 \times 0.15$ | = | 2.97 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | X | $\pi$ | X | 4.20 | x | $0.15 \times 0.15$ | = | 0.30 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| 2 | x | 8.00 | x | 0.15 | x | 0.45 | = | 1.08 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| 1 | x | 8.00 | x | 0.20 | x | 0.15 | $=$ | 0.24 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.59 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |


|  | @ Rs. 4090 | $/-\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Rs. | 18773.10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GRAND TOTAL: | Rs. | 60025.24 |  |  |
| Say Rs. $\quad 60,000 /-$ |  |  |  |  |

( Rupees Sixty Thousand ) only.


## ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF C.C CHECK DAM.

( Based as per P.W.D., Schedule of rates for roads, bridges and E \& D works for the year 2009-2010).

1/134. Excavation for structures.
(I)Ordinary soil.
A. Manual means.
(i)Upto 3.00 m depth.

| M/dam : | $1 \times 10.00 \times 1.20 \times 1.25$ | $=$ | $15.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G/wall : | $2 \times 4.60 \times 0.50 \times 0.80$ | $=$ | $3.68 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| W/wall : | $2 \times 4.00 \times 0.50 \times 0.80$ | $=$ | $3.20 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| T/wall : | $1 \times 6.60 \times 0.60 \times 1.00$ | $=$ | $3.96 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Apron : | $1 \times 4.60 \times 6.00 \times 0.45$ | $=$ | $12.42 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  |  | $----------------3 .-36 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |  |

@ Rs. 47/- $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 1798.22
2/137. Providing c.c. work in 1:3:6 foundation etc.
$\mathrm{M} /$ dam : $1 \times 10.00 \times 1.20 \times 0.23=2.76 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
@ Rs. 3571/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 9855.96

3/141(a). Plain/ reinforcement c.c. in open foundation etc.

| M/dam : | $1 \times 10.00 \times 0.90 \times 1.00$ | = | $9.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \times 10.00 \times \frac{0.45+0.90}{2} \times 1.80=12.15 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $2 \times 2.00 \times 0.45 \times 0.75$ | = | $1.35 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| G/wall | $2 \times 4.60 \times 0.30 \times 0.80$ | = | $2.21 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  | $2 \times 5.45 \times 0.30 \times 2.55$ | = | $8.33 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Less | $2 \times 1 / 2 \times 3.20 \times 0.30 \times 1.35$ | $=(-)$ | $1.30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| W/wall : | $2 \times 4.00 \times 0.30 \times 3.35$ | = | $8.04 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| T/wall : | $1 \times 6.60 \times 0.40 \times 1.00$ | = | $2.64 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Apron : | $1 \times 6.15 \times 6.00 \times 0.15$ | = | $5.54 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  |  | = | $47.96 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

@ Rs. 4090/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 196156.40

4/140(b). Stone masonry work in cement mortar 1:3 etc. complete.

@ Rs. 2714/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 42256.98

GRAND TOTAL $=$ Rs. 250067.56
Say, Rs. 2,50,000.00
( Rupees Two lakh fifty thousand ) only.

## C. C. CHECK DAM

Not to Scale


PLAN


## ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. CORE WALL WITH EARTH FILLED DAM ( EARTHEN EMBANKMENT ) FOR WATER HARVESTING STRUCTURES.

(Rates as per P.W.D S.O.R for Roads, Bridges and E \& D Works 2009-2010).

1/134. Excavation for structures.
(I) Ordinary soil.
(A) Manual Means.
(i) Upto 3 m depth.

| Core wall : $1 \times 18.00 \times 1.20 \times 1.25$ | $=27.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| L/Channel : $1 \times 8.00 \times 1.40 \times 1.10$ | $=12.32 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  |  |
|  | $=---------39.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

@ Rs. 47/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 1848.04

2/137.
P.C.C 1:3:6 in foundation.....etc.

Core wall : $\quad 1 \times 18.00 \times 1.20 \times 0.11$
$=2.38 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
@ Rs. 3571/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 8498.98

3/141. Plain/reinforced c.c in open foundation complete.
(A) P.C.C M-15.

| Core wall : $1 \times 18.00 \times \frac{0.40+1.00}{2} \times 3.50$ | $=44.10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| L/channel : $2 \times 8.00 \times 0.20 \times 1.15$ | $=3.68 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |  |
|  | $1 \times 8.00 \times 1.00 \times 0.10$ | $=0.80 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  |  | $=--------$ |
|  |  | $=48.58 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

@ Rs. 4090/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 198692.

4/28. Construction of embankment.

| Dam : | $1 \times 18.00 \times \frac{2.50+8.50}{2} \times 3.00$ | $=297.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less : | $1 \times 18.00 \times \frac{0.40+0.80}{2} \times 2.50$ | $=(-) 27.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  |  | $=---------\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |

@ Rs. 71/- m ${ }^{3}$
5/100(I). Providing and laying stone/ boulders pitching on slope ...etc.
(I) Stone /boulders.

Dam U/S :
$1 \times 18.00 \times 4.24 \times 0.20$
$=15.26 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

L/Channel : $1 \times 8.00 \times 1.00 \times 0.20$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.60 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& =--------26 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& =16 .
\end{aligned}
$$

@ Rs. 1086/- m ${ }^{3}$ Turfing with sods.
Dam D/S: $1 \times 18.00 \times 4.24$
@ Rs. 46/- m ${ }^{2}$
$=76.32 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$\qquad$ Rs. 3510.72

GRAND TOTAL $=$ Rs. 250029.90
Say, Rs. 2,50,000.00
C.L Core Wall with Earthern Filed Dam

Enbankmevi: For Uater Harvesting Struature


ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF DUG - OUT POND UNDER INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME AS PER P.W.D. SCHEDULE OF RATES
AS PER
P.W.D. SCHEDULE OF RATES FOR ROAD AND BRIDGES FOR

FOR ROADS \& BRIDGES AND E \& D WORKS FOR THE YEAR
2009-10.

1. Site preparation $\qquad$ L/s

Rs. $\quad 200.00$
Earthwork in excavation for foundation of structures upto 3 m depth as per. $\qquad$ material

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { D } \begin{array}{l}
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
A+4 \\
B+C
\end{array}\right\} \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
6
\end{array} \\
A=\{27.00
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
D\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A+4 \\
B+C
\end{array}\right\} \quad m^{2}
$$

$$
6
$$

6
@ Rs 101/- m ${ }^{3}$........................................ Rs. 88,302.28

Total :
Rs. $88,502.28$

Say,
Rs. $88,500.00$

Rupees (eighty eight thousand five hundred ) only

PLAN FOR DUG-OUT POND


SECTION-AA


SECTION-BB
( Rates as per P.W.D S.O.R for Roads, Bridges and E \& D Works 2009-2010 ).
1/134. Excavation for structures.
(I) Ordinary soil.
(A) Manual Means.
(i) Upto 3 m depth.

| $1 \times 10.00 \times 1.35 \times 1 / 2(1.10+0.60)$ | $=11.48 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 \times 10.00 \times 1 / 2 \times 1.35 \times 0.38$ | $=2.57 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
|  | $----------14.05 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

@ Rs. 47/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ Rs. 660.35

2/137.
P.C.C 1:3:6 in foundation.....etc.

$$
1 \times 10.00 \times 1.35 \times 0.10=1.35 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

@ Rs. 3571/- $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ $\qquad$

3/140(b). Stone masonry works in cement mortar 1:3 etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \times 10.00 \times \frac{0.60+1.10}{2} \times 1.75 & =14.88 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
1 \times 10.00 \times 1 / 2 \times 1.10 \times 0.28 & =1.54 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& =-----------16.42 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

@ Rs. 2714/- m ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$
GRAND TOTAL
Rs. 50045.08
Say, Rs. 50,000.00
(Rupees Fifty thousand ) only.


## ANNEXTURE-IV

## MoA,Sub Committee Details Etc.

## TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to certify that cencrally sponsored schemes like NREGS, BRGF, RKVY, NRHS and Total Sanitation Campaign etc can be convered with Watershed Peojects/Progtammee within West Grate Hills District.

Dared: Tura
The $14^{\text {in }}$. ${ }^{2}$ ril, 2011.


Deputy Cutamissionet, West Gam Tills Dist, Tura.

Details of Convergence of IWMP with other Schemes:
Name of Villages: a) Kimdegre b)Manwapara

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. <br> No. | District | Names of projects | Names of Departments with Schemes converging with IWMP | Fund made available to IWMP due to convergence (Rs. in lakh) | Name of activity/task/structure undertaken with converged funds |  |  | Reference no. of activity/ task/ structure in DPR ${ }^{@}$ | Level at which decision for convergence was taken ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | (a) Structures <br> (b)Livelihoods <br> (C)Any other (pl specify) | Nos/Rmt/Ha | Amount(Rs) |  |  |
| 1 | WGH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WGH- } \\ & \text { IWMP-VI } \end{aligned}$ | NREGS <br> (DRDA, <br> West Garo Hils, Meghalaya) | 2679600 | a)Dugout pond | 13 Nos | 650000 | Enclosure of <br> Abstract of Perspective Plan for Convergence of NREGs with IWMP in DPR | District Level |
|  |  |  |  |  | b)Stone masonry protection wall | 9 Nos | 450000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c) Water harvesting farm pond | 3 Nos | 500000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | d) C.C Check cum Irrigation dam | 3 Nos | 250000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | e) Earthen irrigation channel | 1780 Rmt | 114600 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | f) Wet terrace | 5 Ha | 255000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | g)Rubber Plantation | 40 Ha | 292000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | h) Arecanut Plantation | 30 Ha | 168000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Grand Total |  |  | 2679600 |  |  |

Grand Total: Twenty six lakhs seventy nine thousand and six hundred only.
Enclosed: Abstract of Perspective Plan for Convergence of NREGS with IWMP.

$\therefore$.H4


20,


## AGREEMENT FOR CONVERGENCE OF SCHEME

The Village Employment Councils (VEC) and the Communities of Kimdegri Village, Zikzak Block, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya have no objection to the Convergence of NREGS with Integrated Management Project (IWMP) at Kimde village under Kimde MicroWatershed, WGH-IWMP-VI being implemented by Tura Soil \& Water Conservation (T) Division.

We also agreed to allocated and commit Funds for wage as well as material component under NREGS in our Annual Work Plan for various Soil \&Water Conservation Works which shall be taken up during the Project Period (2010-11 to 2013-14). The wage and material component under NREGS shall be utilised for following works:

1. Dugout Pond
2. Wet Terrace
3. Areca nut Plantation
4.Stone Masonry Protection Wall
4. Earthen Irrigation Channel
5. Rubber Plantation
6. C. C. Check cum Irrigation Channel
7. Water Harvesting farm Pond


Villi. Kimdigri M G.N.R.E.G.S,
West Earn Hills
President,
Village Employment Council
Kimdegri
Zikzak Block, WGH


Nil. Kimdigri M,G N.RE.G,S.
West Garo Hills
Secretary
Village Employment Council
Kimdegri
Zikzak Block, WGH

## AGREEMENT FOR CONVERGENCE OF SCHEME

The Village Employment Councils (VEC) and the Communities of Manwapara Village, Zikzak Block, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya have no objection to the Convergence of NREGS with Integrated Management Project (IWMP) at Manwapara village under Kimde Micro-Watershed, WGH-IWMP-VI being implemented by Tura Soil \& Water Conservation (T) Division.

We also agreed to allocated and commit Funds for wage as well as material component under NREGS in our Annual Work Plan for various Soil \&Water Conservation Works which shall be taken up during the Project Period (2010-11 to 2013-14). The wage and material component under NREGS shall be utilised for following works:

1. Dugout Pond
2. Wet Terrace
3. C.C. Check cum Irrigation Dam
4. Areca nut Plantation
5.Stone Masonry Protection Wall
5. Earthen Irrigation Channel
7.Water Harvesting Farm Pond
6. Rubber Plantation


Napwapara VE.C.


President,
Village Employment Council
Manwapara
Zikzak Block, WGH

Ganwapara VE.C
Secretary
Village Employment Council
Manwapara
Zikzak Block, WGH

# NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE OF THE A-KING NOKMA FOR KIMDE MICRO WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT TO BE TAKEN UP UNDER IWMP-VI <br> PROJECT BY TURA SOII. \& WATER CONSERVATION (T) DIVISION 

The A-king Nokna of Manwapara village under Kimede Micro-watershed project. WGH-[WMP-VI has No Objection to the dev:Iopmental activities to be undertaken in my A-king land by Soll \& Waler Cimiservation Department.

The villagers of Manwapara $A$-king Land arte ready to accept the Developmen:t Shehernt afler clear understanding of the objectives and the activities proposed under the project to be implemented in mur Walersherl areat

There will be No Ohjection in Juture from the villagers of the walurshed area as they have understond the robjectives of the proposed scheme of the Soil \& Water C:mservation Department.


Shri R. S. Sangma
Nokma i-20 ( )
Mermwepara A.kinge West Gara Hills
C.cuntersigned by

Divisimal Officer,<br>Tura Soil \& Water Cons(1) Divisiou<br>West Garo Hills, Meghalaya

# NO OBjECTION CERTIFICATE OF THE A•KING NOMA FOR KIMDE MICRO WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT TO BE TAKEN UP UNDER IWMP-V] PROJECT BY TURN SOIL \& WATER CONSERVATION (T) DIVISION 

The Asking Nokia of Kitudegre village under Kimde Micro-watershed project, WGH-1wMP-VI has No Objection to the devempmental activities to he undertaken in my A-king land by Spoil \& Water Conservation Department.

The villagers of Kimdegre Asking Land are ready to accept the Development Scheme aniler clear understanding of the objectives and the activities proposed under thu: project to be implemented in our Watershed area.

There will be No Objection in future from the villagers of the watershed ares as they have understood the objectives of the proposed scheme of the Soil \& Water Conservation Department.

Name \& Signature of A- king Nokia


[^0]
[^0]:    Divisional Officer,
    Tara Soil \& Water Cons (T) Division West Giro Hills, Meghalaya

